

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/693,052 | 10/23/2003 | Yi-Chung Chan | JCLA9844 | 1566 |
| ²³⁹⁰⁰ J C PATENTS, | 7590 08/08/2007 LINC | | EXAMINER | |
| 4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 | | | BIBBINS, LATANYA | |
| IRVINE, CA 92618 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2627 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/08/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/693,052 | CHAN, YI-CHUNG | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | LaTanya Bibbins | 2627 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, m rill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become | JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| • | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma | <u>ay 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| ′= | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[_] | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 7-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | · | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. | a) \boxtimes accepted or b) $ $ drawing(s) be held in ab on is required if the draw | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) Infon | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) D Notice | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the remarks filed on May 18,2007, Applicant amended claims 11 and 15, cancelled claims 1-6, and submitted arguments for allowability of pending claims 7-18.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed May 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 7-10, Applicant argues that Yoshida does not disclose that the reference values, Vref1 and Vref2, are threshold values. Applicant further argues that the reference values are used by the comparators to detect the frequency of the wobble signal by using the two reference values and thus Yoshida does not teach or suggest discriminating a type of optical storage medium by comparing the clock frequency with a frequency threshold.

However, as indicated in the previous office action, Yoshida discloses the use of comparator circuits (Figure 2 elements 93 and 94) to detect the frequency of the wobble signal by using reference or threshold values V_{ref1} and V_{ref2} (Col. 4, Lines 38-60) and depending on the output of the comparators the type of optical storage medium is determined (Col 4, Line 61 - Col. 5 Line 18). Prior to the comparator circuits, the amplitude level of the frequency signal is attenuated by a BPF having a predetermined center frequency, thus the output of the comparator is based on the frequency of the wobble signal (Col. 4 Lines 51-60).

In addition, a threshold is defined as a value above which something is true or will take place and below which it is not or will not. As Yoshida discusses V_{ref1} and V_{ref2} are set to specific values and high level or low level signals, indicative of the type of optical storage medium, are output from the comparators if the input, which is based on the frequency of the wobble, is above or below the V_{ref1} and V_{ref2} values (see column 4 lines 38- column 5 line 18).

Regarding claims 11-18, Applicant argues reasons similar to claim 7; Yamada and Yoshida do not teach or suggest discriminating a type of optical storage medium by comparing the clock frequency with a frequency threshold. Therefore, Applicants arguments are not persuasive for the reasons stated above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. <u>Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al. (US Patent Number 5,764,610).</u>

Regarding claim 7, Yoshida discloses obtaining a clock frequency for reading the optical storage medium (wobble signal detecting circuit, Col. 6, Lines 17-20); and comparing the clock frequency threshold to discriminate a type of the: optical storage medium (Col. 4, Lines 9-16).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 8, Yoshida discloses the optical storage medium is discriminated as a DVD when the clock frequency is larger than the threshold (Col. 4, Lines 9-16; Col. 6, Lines 25-36).

Regarding claim 9, Yoshida discloses the optical storage medium is discriminated as a CD when the clock frequency is smaller than the frequency threshold (Col. 4, Lines 9-16; Col. 6, Lines 25-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **5.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. <u>Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

 <u>Yoshida et al. (US Patent Number 5,764,610), as applied to claim 7 above, and</u>

 <u>further in view of Hira (US Patent Number 5,381,392).</u>

Regarding claim 10, Yoshida does not explicitly disclose but Hira suggests the optical storage medium is discriminated as a blank disk when the clock frequency is substantially zero (Col. 3, Lines 53-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yoshida and have an optical storage medium be discriminated as a blank disk when the clock frequency is

Art Unit: 2627

substantially zero, as suggested by Hira, in order to determine if a disk is blank and thus recordable.

7. <u>Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US Patent Number 5,831,952) in view of Yoshida et al. (US Patent Number 5,764,610).</u>

Regarding claim 11, Yamada discloses a method for discriminating an optical storage medium (Col. 2, Lines 40-42), comprising: projecting a light beam onto the optical storage medium to obtain a distance between a reflection layer and a surface layer of the optical storage medium (Col. 2, Line 62 - Col. 3, Line 5).

Yamada does not disclose, but Yoshida suggests obtaining a clock frequency for reading the optical storage medium to discriminate the optical storage medium when the obtained distance is larger than a failure threshold (wobble signal detecting circuit, Col. 6, Lines 17-20), wherein the clock frequency is compared with a frequency threshold to discriminate a type of the optical storage medium (Col. 4, Lines 9-16), wherein the optical storage medium is discriminated as a DVD when the clock frequency is larger than the frequency threshold and the optical storage medium is discriminated as a CD when the clock frequency is smaller than the frequency threshold (Col. 4, Lines 9-16, and Col. 6, Lines 25-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada and have a step of obtaining a clock frequency for reading the optical storage medium to discriminate the

Application/Control Number: 10/693,052

Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

optical storage medium when the obtained distance is larger than a failure threshold, wherein the clock frequency is compared with a frequency threshold to discriminate a type of the optical storage medium, as disclosed by Yoshida, since different types of media require distinct reproduction parameters, resulting in an effective manner to distinguish among them.

In addition, it would have also been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art a the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada, and have an optical storage medium discriminated as a DVD when the clock frequency is larger than the frequency threshold and the optical storage medium discriminated as a CD when the clock frequency is smaller than the frequency threshold, as disclosed by Yoshida, in order to correctly identify a loaded disk and reproduce the data contained therein appropriately.

Regarding claim 12, Yamada and Yoshida disclose the optical storage medium is discriminated as a DVD when the obtained distance is smaller than the distance threshold (DVD has a thin base substrate, Yamada Col.3, Lines 4-5).

Regarding claim 13, Yamada and Yoshida disclose the optical storage medium is discriminated as a CD when the obtained distance is larger than the distance threshold (CD has a thick base substrate, Yamada Col. 3,Lines 4-5).

8. <u>Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

Yamada et al. (US Patent Number 5,831,952) and Yoshida et al. (US Patent

Number 5,764,610), as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Hira (US Patent Number 5,381,392).

Page 7

Regarding claim 14, Yamada and Yoshida do not explicitly disclose but Hira suggests the optical storage medium is discriminated as a blank disk when the clock frequency is substantially zero (Col. 3, Lines 53-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada and Yoshida and have an optical storage medium be discriminated as a blank disk when the clock frequency is, substantially zero, as suggested by Hira, in order to determine if a disk is blank and thus recordable.

9. <u>Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>

<u>over Yamada et al. (US Patent Number 5,831,952) and Yoshida et al. (US Patent Number 5,764,610), as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Kumagai (US Patent Number 6,005,832).</u>

Regarding claim 15, Yamada and Yoshida do not disclose but Kumagai does suggest a step of reading a predetermined range of the optical storage medium to obtain a plurality of data transition points when the obtained distance is larger than a failure threshold, wherein each of the transition regions is defined as an interval between two neighboring ones of the data transition points (discrimination signal and measured times t1 and t2, Col. 14, Lines 24-29 and 39-47, see Fig. 17C, elements 11 and 13, and Fig. 17E, elements 12 and 14).

Art Unit: 2627

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one ordinarily skilled in the art to supplement the teachings of Yamada and Yoshida and have a step of reading a predetermined range of the optical storage medium to obtain a plurality of data transition points when the obtained distance is larger than a failure threshold, wherein each of the transition regions is defined as an interval between two neighboring ones of the data transition points, as suggested by Kumagai, in order to effectively discriminate between types of optical disks.

Regarding claim 16, Yamada and Yoshida do not disclose but Kumagai does disclose obtaining a longest transition region among the transition regions (comparing the measured times and threshold value, Col. 14, Lines 48-53); and discriminating a type of the optical storage medium according to a dimension of the longest transition region (Col. 14, Lines 53-55; Col. 15, Lines 60-65; Col. 16 Lines 6-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada and Yoshida and obtain a longest transition region among the transition regions and discriminate a type of the optical storage medium according to a dimension of the longest transition region as disclosed by Kumagai, since different types of optical disks will yield different measured times, resulting in an effective manner to distinguish among them.

Regarding claim 17, Yamada and Yoshida do not disclose but Kumagai does disclose the discriminating step comprises: obtaining a time-consumption for reading the longest transition region (discrimination signal and measured times t1 and t22, Col. 14, Lines 24-29 and 39-47; Col. 15, Lines 60-65; Col. 16, Lines 6-10); and comparing

Application/Control Number: 10/693,052 Page 9

Art Unit: 2627

the time-consumption with a time threshold to discriminate the optical storage medium (comparing the measured times and threshold value, Col. 14, Lines 48-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada and Yoshida and obtain a time-consumption for reading the longest transition region, and compare the time-consumption with a time threshold to discriminate the optical storage medium, as disclosed by Kumagai, since different types of optical disks will yield different measured times, resulting in an effective manner to distinguish among them.

Regarding claim 18, Yamada and Yoshida do not disclose but Kumagai does disclose the optical storage medium is discriminated as a DVD when the time-consumption is smaller than the time threshold and the optical storage medium discriminates as a CD when the time-consumption is larger than the time threshold (Col. 15, Lines 60-65; Col. 16, Lines 6-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Yamada and Yoshida and have optical storage medium discriminated as a DVD when the time-consumption is smaller than the time threshold and the optical storage medium discriminated as a CD when the time-consumption is larger than the time threshold, in order to correctly identify a loaded disk and reproduce the data contained therein appropriately.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,052 Page 10

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaTanya Bibbins whose telephone number is (571) 270-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,052

Art Unit: 2627

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LaTanya Bibbins

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

Page 11